Current Efforts to Mitigate Ship Strikes Using Real-Time Acoustic Monitoring of Right Whales from Autonomous Platforms

Baumgartner, M.²; Davies, K.¹; Durette-Morin, D.¹; Gurnee, J.³; Johnson, H.¹; Taggart, C.¹; van Parijs, S.³

^{1.} Dalhousie University, Dept. of Oceanography, Halifax, NS

^{2.} Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution, Biology Dept. Woods Hole, MA

^{3.} NOAA Northeast Fisheries Science Center, Woods Hole, MA

kim.davies@Dal.Ca mbaumgartner@whoi.edu

The location of most of the right whale population is unknown most of the time, and this is a fundamental factor limiting effective ship strike mitigation. To help address this issue, a system was developed to continuously monitor right whales in near real-time from autonomous ocean platforms. The system (DMON-LFDCS) consists of a hydrophone that records low frequency audio and software that detects and classifies right whale sounds from the recorded audio. A subset of ~ 25% of this data is transmitted to a shore station via Iridium satellite, where it is validated by a trained analyst and then disseminated to stakeholders. Since 2014, the DMON-LFDCS has been deployed on Slocum gliders and buoys to monitor right whale presence from the New York Bight to the Gulf of St. Lawrence, which encompasses the core right whale feeding range. Right whales have been detected in near real-time by these platforms over 700 times. Validation of the real-time protocol demonstrates that it correctly detects true right whale acoustic presence with nearly 100% accuracy. Missed detection rates, which are moderate, can be reduced by increasing the subset of data sent via Iridium satellite. We summarize current applications of the technology in Canadian waters, including monitoring during the Gulf of St. Lawrence mortality event, in high-use shipping routes and a seasonal Area to be Avoided. We conclude with a vision for the future of the widespread implementation of this system to conduct real-time monitoring and mitigation of ship strikes in the Northwest Atlantic.

Current efforts to mitigate ship strikes using real-time acoustic monitoring from autonomous platforms



Mark Baumgartner, Kimberley Davies, Delphine Durette-Morin, Julianne Gurnee, Hansen Johnson, Christopher Taggart, Sofie Van Parijs





- 1. Motivation
- 2. Enabling technology
- 3. Does the technology work?
- 4. Applications

Motivation

Moored buoy

Autonomous platforms

- Long endurance
- 24/7 monitoring
- Some are mobile
- Built-in real-time communications
- Comparatively inexpensive
- Quiet for archival/real-time passive acoustics







1. Motivation

2. Enabling technology

3. Does the technology work?

4. Applications

Digital acoustic monitoring instrument





Developed at WHOI by Mark Johnson, Tom Hurst, and Alex Shorter

Detection and classification



Low-frequency detection and classification system (LFDCS)



Baumgartner, M.F. and S.E. Mussoline. 2011. A generalized baleen whale call detection and classification system. Journal of the Acoustical Society of America 129:2889-2902.

Detection and classification

Low-frequency detection and classification system (LFDCS)





Baumgartner, M.F. and S.E. Mussoline. 2011. A generalized baleen whale call detection and classification system. Journal of the Acoustical Society of America 129:2889-2902.

Detection and classification

Low-frequency detection and classification system (LFDCS)



Baumgartner, M.F. and S.E. Mussoline. 2011. A generalized baleen whale call detection and classification system. Journal of the Acoustical Society of America 129:2889-2902.

Operation





Operation





Analyst protocol

- "calibrate" analysts
- conservative

Guide to Monitoring Real-time Marine Mammal Detections using Autonomous Platforms

12/5/2014 (Revised 4/8/2016) NOAA NEFSC Passive Acoustics Research Group Annamaria Izzi, Julianne Gurnee

Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution Mark Baumgartner



Analyst reviews:

- Pitch tracks
- Classifications
- Context

Julianne Gurnee NOAA NEFSC

Operation





Distribution



Whale Aler

S OIFAW iC

dcs.whoi.edu

Daily analyst review:					
Date	Sei whale	Fin whale	Right whale	Humpback whale	
09/04/2015					
09/03/2015					
09/02/2015					
09/01/2015					
08/31/2015					
08/30/2015					
08/29/2015					
08/28/2015					

AIS (2018?)

AUTOMATIC IDENTIFICATION SYSTEM 12 - 1 2

Text message



Email message

Mark Baumgartner

To: undisclosed-recipients:;

Fin whales detected on the Nomans Land buoy

Time now: 12/13/16 12:00 EST

Fin whales detected on the Nomans Land buoy! Latest detections: 2.8 hours ago.

Fin whale detections: 12/12/16 18:09 EST (17.8 hr ago) 12/12/16 19:09 EST (16.8 hr ago) 12/12/16 20:09 EST (15.8 hr ago) 12/12/16 21:09 EST (14.8 hr ago) 12/12/16 23:09 EST (12.8 hr ago 12/13/16 00:09 EST (11.8 hr ago) 12/13/16 01:09 EST (10.8 hr ago) 12/13/16 02:09 EST (9.8 hr ago) 12/13/16 02:24 EST (9.6 hr ago) 12/13/16 03:09 EST (8.8 hr ago) 12/13/16 05:09 EST (6.8 hr ago) 12/13/16 06:09 EST (5.8 hr ago) 12/13/16 07:09 EST (4.8 hr ago) 12/13/16 08:09 EST (3.8 hr ago) 12/13/16 09:09 EST (2.8 hr ago)

See http://dcs.whoi.edu/nomans0916/non

Whale Alert app (fall 2017)

Follow @Robots4Whales



1. Motivation

2. Enabling technology

3. Does the technology work?

4. Applications

How well does it work?



Evaluation datasets

Moored buoy Noman's Land Island March 2015 - March 2016





Slocum glider Great South Channel April - July 2015 April – July 2016





Near real-time analysis of pitch tracks

Right whale





Audio analysis of archived recordings



	Number of	Missed occurrence	False occurrence	Accuracy	
	days	(%)	(%)	(%)	
Slocum glider 2015	85	8.3	0.0	97.6	
Slocum glider 2016	55	44.4	0.0	92.7	
Moored buoy	141	28.0	0.0	95.0	



Evaluation of near real-time estimates of daily whale occurrence Truth = analysis of recorded audio



	Number of days	Missed occurrence (%)	False occurrence (%)	Accuracy (%)
Slocum glider 2015	85	8.3	0.0	97.6
Slocum glider 2016	55	44.4	0.0	92.7
Moored buoy	141	28.0	0.0	95.0



Evaluation of near real-time estimates of daily whale occurrence Truth = analysis of recorded audio

How well does it work?



		Missed	False	
	Number of days	occurrence (%)	occurrence (%)	Accuracy (%)
Slocum glider 2015	85	8.3	0.0	97.6
Slocum glider 2016	55	44.4	0.0	92.7
Moored buoy	141	28.0	0.0	95.0



Evaluation of near real-time estimates of daily whale occurrence Truth = analysis of recorded audio

How well does it work?



		Missed	False	
	Number of days	occurrence (%)	occurrence (%)	Accuracy (%)
Slocum glider 2015	85	8.3	0.0	97.6
Slocum glider 2016	55	44.4	0.0	92.7
Moored buoy	141	28.0	0.0	95.0



Most missed calls occur during non-monitored periods – missed occurrence can be improved by increasing transmitted data



1. Motivation

2. Enabling technology

3. Does the technology work?

4. Applications

Large-scale monitoring across the NW Atlantic









Monitoring the GoSL crisis











Collaborators: A. Vanderlaan, H. Moors-Murphy (DFO), M. Brown (CWI), Phillip Hamilton, Amy Knowlton (NEAq), Tim Cole (NOAA), Jack Lawson (DFO), NOAA DFO and TC aerial surveillance teams

Monitoring a U.S. Coast Guard gunnery range





Collaborators: Tim Cole, Peter Corkeron, and Sofie Van Parijs (NOAA NEFSC) Andy Stokes (Coast Guard SE New England)

Monitoring the Roseway Basin Area to be Avoided 🅢 🏠



Right whales are frequently present in and around the Roseway Basin ATBA

Monitoring & compliance remain critical



Initiate visual survey if whales acoustically present

Collaborators: Moira Brown (CWI)

Monitoring shipping lanes in New York Bight



Multi-Function Node (MFN)



Collaborators: Howard Rosenbaum (WCS) Sofie Van Parijs (NOAA NEFSC)

Monitoring during Cutlass Fury 2016







Right, sei, fin and humpback whales were detected by the glider Collaborators: Lt. Erica Rogers, Maj. Norm Scantland (MetOc), Deanna Brewster (MARLANT)

Vision for AIS or NAVTEX Whale Alert





Glider → Iridium → Validation → Aid to Navigation → AIS message





- Developed system for passive acoustic recording and near real-time detection/classification of marine mammals from autonomous platforms
- System is very accurate for right whales
- Operational for monitoring and mitigation applications with Slocum gliders and moored buoys
- Particularly useful when used together with visual surveys (ship, aerial)



WHOI Engineers:

Jim Partan, Keenan Ball, Tom Hurst, Léo-Paul Pelletier, Lee Freitag, Ben Hodges, John Kemp, Don Peters, Kris Newhall, Jeff Pietro

Dalhousie Glider Technicians:

Adam Comeau, Richard Davis, Sue L'Orsa, Jude van der Meer

Collaborators:

Cara Hotchkin (NAVFAC Atlantic), Peter Corkeron, Tim Cole (NOAA NEFSC), Howard Rosenbaum (WCS), Angelia Vanderlaan (DFO), Hilary Moors-Murphy (DFO), Moira Brown (CWI), Jack Lawson (DFO), DFO NOAA and TC aerial surveillance teams, crew of the R/V Shelagh

Funders



WHOI program



Dalhousie program







Environment and Climate Change Canada



And many other supporting agencies

