Goal: The goal of this annual meeting is to (1) clearly communicate the science behind the status of the population and our concern regarding population decline and the impact of entanglement, vessel strikes and recent mortality crisis, and (2) form an international working group to reduce mortality from vessel strikes and fishing gear entanglements.
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Management
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North Atlantic Right Whale Consortium
2017 Annual Report Card

Pettis, H.M.1, Pace, R.M. III2, Schick, R.S.3, Hamilton, P.K.1

1 Anderson Cabot Center for Ocean Life at the New England Aquarium, Central Wharf, Boston, MA, USA 02110
2 Grizzlywhaler Consulting Services, 137 W. Pelham Road, Shutesbury, MA 01070
3 Marine Geospatial Ecology Lab, Nicholas School of the Environment, Duke University, 9 Circuit Drive, Durham, NC 27708

NORTH ATLANTIC RIGHT WHALE CONSORTIUM BACKGROUND

The North Atlantic right whale (Eubalaena glacialis) remains one of the most endangered large whales in the world. Over the past two decades, there has been increasing interest in addressing the problems hampering the recovery of North Atlantic right whales by using innovative research techniques, new technologies, analyses of existing databases, and enhanced conservation and education strategies. This increased interest demanded better coordination and collaboration among all stakeholders to ensure that there was improved access to data, research efforts were not duplicative, and that findings were shared with all interested parties. The North Atlantic Right Whale Consortium, initially formed in 1986 by five research institutions to share data among themselves, was expanded in 1997 to address these greater needs. Currently, the Consortium membership is comprised of representatives from more than 100 entities including: research, academic, and conservation organizations; shipping and fishing industries; whale watching companies; technical experts; United States (U.S.) and Canadian Government agencies; and state authorities.

The Consortium membership is committed to long-term research and management efforts, and to coordinating and integrating the wide variety of databases and research efforts related to right whales to provide the relevant management, academic and conservation groups with the best scientific advice and recommendations on right whale conservation. The Consortium is also committed to sharing new and updated methods with its membership, providing up-to-date information on right whale biology and conservation to the public, and maintaining effective communication with U.S. and Canadian Government agencies, state authorities, the Canadian Right Whale Network, the U.S. Southeast Right Whale Implementation Team, the Atlantic Large Whale Take Reduction Team, the Atlantic Scientific Review Group, and members of the U.S. Congress. The Consortium membership supports the maintenance and long-term continuity of the separate research programs under its umbrella, and serves as executor for database archives that include right whale sightings and photo-identification data contributed by private institutions, government scientists and agencies, and individuals. Lastly, the Consortium is interested in maximizing the effectiveness of management measures to protect right whales, including using management models from other fields.

The Consortium is governed by an Executive Committee and Board members who are elected by the general Consortium Membership at the Annual Meeting.

2017 ANNUAL NORTH ATLANTIC RIGHT WHALE REPORT CARD

North Atlantic Right Whale Consortium members agreed in 2004 that an annual “report card” on the status of right whales would be useful. This report card includes updates on the status of the cataloged population, mortalities and injury events, and a summary of management and research efforts that have occurred over the previous 12 months. The Board’s goal is to make public a summary of current research and management activities, as well as provide detailed recommendations for future activities. The Board views this report as a valuable asset in assessing the effects of research and management over time.

Essential Population Monitoring and Priorities

In the 2009 Report Card to the International Whaling Commission (IWC), the Consortium Board identified key monitoring efforts that must be continued and maintained in order to identify trends in the population, as well as assess the factors behind any changes in these trends (Pettis, 2009). The key efforts are: (1) Photographic identification and cataloging of right whales in high-use habitats and migratory corridors, including, but not limited to, the southeast United States, Cape Cod Bay, Great South Channel, Bay of Fundy,
Scotian Shelf, and Jeffreys Ledge, (2) Monitoring of scarring and visual health assessment from photographic data, (3) Examination of all mortalities, and (4) Continue using photo-ID and genetic profiling to monitor population structure and how this changes over time.

The Consortium Board regards the Consortium databases as essential to recovery efforts for the North Atlantic right whale population. In a review of the federal recovery program for North Atlantic right whales, the Marine Mammal Commission agreed with the Board’s sentiment, stating that “both databases play critical roles in right whale conservation” and that the Identification Catalog “is the cornerstone of right whale research and monitoring” (Reeves et al. 2007). The review went on to recommend that both databases (“both” here and above refers to the Identification and Sightings databases; there are several Consortium databases available) be fully funded on a stable basis.

Over the last several years, right whale distribution and patterns of habitat use have shifted, in some cases dramatically. These shifts have been observed throughout the range of North Atlantic right whales and have direct implications on research and management activities, as well as on each of the key efforts identified above. As such, the Board believes that identifying potential extralimital and new critical habitats and developing alternative survey effort strategies to respond to the distributional changes should be a priority. These strategies should include efforts to not only locate and identify individual right whales, but also to ensure that information critical to important monitoring and management efforts (i.e. health assessment, injury and scarring assessments) is effectively and efficiently collected.

An unprecedented fifteen North Atlantic right whale mortalities were documented in 2017, representing nearly 3% of the population. This, coupled with the decline in reproductive output by 40% since 2010 (Kraus et al. 2016), threatens the very survival of this species. To date, anthropogenic factors, including entanglement in fixed fishing gear and vessel strikes, have been implicated in seven of the fifteen recent mortalities. It is clear that current management regulations have not been effective at reducing serious entanglement injuries (Pace et al. 2014) and since 2010, entanglement related deaths accounted for 85% of diagnosed mortalities (Kraus et al. 2016). Additionally, entanglements reduce survival probability over time for right whales and moderate and severe injuries from entanglement are increasing (Robbins et al. 2015; Knowlton et al. 2016). Although several large scale management efforts to mitigate vessel strikes, including shifts in traffic separation schemes in the Bay of Fundy (2003) and Boston (2007), the designation of the Roseway Basin (2007) and Great South Channel Areas to be Avoided (2009), and the ship speed restriction rule implemented in 2008, have previously been shown to be successful (Laist et al. 2014), vessel strikes have been implicated in two mortalities in and around Cape Cod Bay, U.S., and at least four mortalities in the Gulf of St. Lawrence, Canada, since May 2016. These mortalities call into question the effectiveness of existing spatial and temporal seasonal management areas in the U.S. and suggest the immediate need for vessel strike mitigation implementation in Canada.

Timely and effective efforts to reduce both entanglement and vessel strike mortalities must be a priority for both the U.S. and Canada if this species is to survive.

Population Status

Population over Time

Below are assessments of the number of photo-identified right whales within the population over time based on five available methods. The presumed alive (PA) counts whales that have been seen at least once in the last six years. It is a consistently measureable and easily available value, but it assumes that whales remain alive for six years after their last sighting (which is often not the case) and the estimates for recent years may be artificially low due to delays in data processing. The Minimum Number Alive (MNA) is the number used in the NMFS stock assessment reports and counts whales seen in a given year, plus any whale not seen that year—but seen both before and after. The MNA number is more accurate than PA for older years, but is also not accurate for recent years for the same reason as the PA method, plus the fact that there have been fewer “after” years to detect a whale. Recently, two additional assessment methods have been developed to better assess abundance and the results of both are included in this report card for the first time. The Pace et al. 2017 model “adapted a state-space formulation with Jolly-Seber assumptions about population entry (birth and immigration) to individual resighting histories and fit it using empirical Bayes methodology.” The model
allows for animals to be included in the annual abundance estimates that were never seen or cataloged. The full methodology is available in the paper. Schick et al. 2013 also developed a model using Bayesian methodology, but unlike the Pace model, it includes health assessment data (see also Schick et al. 2016 and Rolland et al. 2016). Here we present preliminary results from this model through 2014. The model was run through 2015 (the last year for which Health Assessment data were complete) but showed such a precipitous drop in 2015 (over 100 animals) that we suspect it is an artefact of the end of the time series and so that year’s data point was not included. The model has not been used to enumerate the living population before; further testing of it is needed. A brief methodology is provided at the end of the report card. Finally, the report card number has the weakness of utilizing the PA methodology with its assumptions, but does incorporate animals that have been photographed but not yet cataloged. The methodology for the report card numbers is also provided at the end of the report card.

For the graph below, all numbers except the past report card numbers were recalculated using data through 2016, as of September 1, 2017. The PA number is always artificially high as the past year’s MNA numbers attest. The difference is largely due to whales that have not been seen since before the year in question. For example, the 30+ animals that the PA number included in 1990 and the MNA did not are whales that have not been seen since 1990 and are thus very likely dead. The Pace and Schick models remove assumptions of when a whale is alive and are likely more accurate. The report card numbers are always higher than the other two methods for the most recent years. However, the fact that the old report card numbers for 2005 to 2009 were close to the eventual MNA numbers suggests that the methodology worked reasonably well through 2009. However, starting in 2010, the two numbers started to diverge. This is partially because fewer whales were seen so the MNA number may be artificially low. But it also appears that the six year assumption for PA whales is increasingly erroneous, whales die sooner than six years after their last sighting. The report card does however capture the recent increase in calves that have not yet been cataloged. This delay in cataloging is largely due to the right whale distribution shift which has resulted in fewer calves being seen on the feeding grounds with their mothers and fewer sightings of juveniles anywhere, both of which make cataloging recent calves challenging.

**Figure 1.** Assessments of the North Atlantic right whale population based on five available assessment methods. The Schick model matches the MNA value closely and thus obscures the MNA line. The Pace model shows a point "estimate" along with error bars which represent 95% of the posteriori probability. The most reliable population number for 2016 is 451 right whales from the Pace model. Data through 2016 as of September 1, 2017.
2016 Assessment of Photographed North Atlantic Right Whales

The ability to monitor North Atlantic right whale vital rates is entirely dependent on the right whale Identification Database, curated by the Anderson Cabot Center for Ocean Life at the New England Aquarium. As of September 1, 2017, the database consists of over 900,000 slides, prints, and digital images collected during the 73,360 sightings of 723 individual right whales photographed since 1935. Each year, 2,000 to 5,000 sightings consisting of 20-30,000 images are added to the identification database. Due to the lag time in processing data, an estimate of the catalogued population is available through 2016.

Table 1 shows an assessment of photographed whales using the Catalog and the presumed alive method. The values are based upon the number of photographed whales only; they exclude potential unphotographed whales and therefore should not be considered a “population estimate”. The best photo-identification assessment (“Middle”) includes 490 cataloged whales that were presumed to be alive in 2016 because they were seen in that year, or any time in the prior five years (Knowlton et al. 1994). The assessment also includes 14 calves from 2015 or 2016 that were considered suitable for eventual inclusion in the catalog and 25 other whales that did not match the catalog, but were re-identified in at least one subsequent year (excluding sightings in field seasons that spanned the calendar year). A detailed explanation of these calculations is included at the end of this report.

Table 1. The report card assessment represents an assessment of the number of photographed whales in the North Atlantic Right Whale Identification Database. A detailed explanation of calculations can be found at the end of this report. Analysis completed 9/1/17.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Low: 304 individuals</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cataloged whales seen in 2016</td>
<td>304</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle: 529 individuals</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cataloged whales presumed alive in 2016</td>
<td>490</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intermatch whales likely to be added to Catalog</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calves from 2015 and 2016 likely to be added to Catalog</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High: 736 individuals</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All Cataloged whales in 2016 minus those known dead</td>
<td>679</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All active intermatch codes without 2015 &amp; 2016 calves</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All uncataloged 2015 and 2016 calves minus dead</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Analysis 9/1/17

The report card assessment resulted in a best value of 529 photographed North Atlantic right whales, but this year we believe the best estimate of the living population is 451 based on the Pace methodology (data through 2016 as of September 1, 2017).

How Well Are We Monitoring?
Below is an annual count of sightings, unique individuals, whales presumed alive, kilometers of effort that have been submitted to the sightings database at the University of Rhode Island, and percent of the population that is identified each year from 2000 onward (Table 2). The shift in whale distribution has reduced both the number of sightings contributed to the Catalog and the percent of the population seen annually since 2011. Data as of September 1, 2017.
Table 2. Annual counts of sightings, unique individuals, presumed living whales, survey effort, and the percentage of the population seen. Survey effort from dedicated surveys only; opportunistic sightings do not record or report effort. Data as of September 1, 2017.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Sightings</th>
<th>Unique IDs</th>
<th>Presumed Living Population</th>
<th>Survey Effort (1,000 km)</th>
<th>% of population seen</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td>3084</td>
<td>236</td>
<td>342</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>69%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2001</td>
<td>3848</td>
<td>281</td>
<td>360</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>78%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2002</td>
<td>2709</td>
<td>303</td>
<td>383</td>
<td>217</td>
<td>79%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2003</td>
<td>2401</td>
<td>314</td>
<td>396</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>79%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004</td>
<td>1804</td>
<td>286</td>
<td>405</td>
<td>259</td>
<td>71%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>3397</td>
<td>352</td>
<td>420</td>
<td>340</td>
<td>84%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>2799</td>
<td>344</td>
<td>431</td>
<td>316</td>
<td>80%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>3736</td>
<td>379</td>
<td>445</td>
<td>267</td>
<td>85%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>4147</td>
<td>388</td>
<td>467</td>
<td>254</td>
<td>83%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>4634</td>
<td>421</td>
<td>483</td>
<td>246</td>
<td>87%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>3221</td>
<td>418</td>
<td>501</td>
<td>271</td>
<td>83%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>3462</td>
<td>435</td>
<td>501</td>
<td>234</td>
<td>87%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>2126</td>
<td>370</td>
<td>502</td>
<td>271</td>
<td>74%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>1905</td>
<td>293</td>
<td>504</td>
<td>215</td>
<td>58%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>2389</td>
<td>361</td>
<td>501</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>72%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>1766</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>490</td>
<td>184</td>
<td>51%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>2142</td>
<td>304</td>
<td>490</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>62%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Reproduction
There were five documented calves born in 2017 (Table 3). The average calving interval of 2017 moms was 10.2 years and there were no first-time moms.

Table 3. Summary of calving events and associated interval times for North Atlantic right whales from 2008-2017. The number of available cows, defined as females who have given birth to at least one previous calf and were presumed to be alive, are followed by the percentage of available cows to successfully calve.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Calf Count</th>
<th>Available Cows/ % to calve</th>
<th>Average Interval</th>
<th>Median Interval</th>
<th>First time Moms</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>59/39.0%</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>58/67.2%</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>45/42.2%</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>48/45.8%</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>64/10.9%</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>83/24.1%</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>85/12.9%</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>80/21.3%</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>14*</td>
<td>81/17.3%</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>71/7.04%</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*There were 14 mothers seen with calves in the 2015/2016 season, however, due to a three-way calf switch that included the presumed loss of one calf that was never photographed, only 13 calves were photographed.
Mortalities

Between 01 November 2016 - 01 October 2017, an unprecedented fifteen right whale mortalities were documented (Table 4). Twelve mortalities were detected in Canada and three were detected in the U.S. Causes of death were determined as blunt force trauma for five animals, chronic entanglement for one, and probable entanglement for one. Eight causes of death could not be determined. The Consortium Board recognizes necropsies as significant data collection events that provide valuable information on which management and conservation measures can be (and have been) based. The Board views consistent necropsy response and support (both financial and personnel) as critical to monitor both right whale recovery and the efficacy of management actions.

Live Entanglements, Entrapments, and Vessel Strikes

Entanglement and Entrapments
There were ten active entanglement/entrapment cases reported between 01 November 2016 - 01 October 2017, of which eight were new. Table 5 includes newly reported cases as well as pertinent updates to previously reported cases.

Vessel Strikes:
There were no non-lethal vessel strike injuries documented between 01 November 2016 – 01 October 2017.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Whale #</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Field #</th>
<th>Necropsied?</th>
<th>Cause</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4694</td>
<td>04/13/2017</td>
<td>4nm north Barnstable Harbor, Cape Cod Bay</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>IFAW17-182Eg</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Blunt force trauma (confirmed)</td>
<td>25', fresh carcass</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3746</td>
<td>06/06/2017</td>
<td>Gulf of St. Lawrence</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>MARS2017-136</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Undetermined</td>
<td>Carcass not recovered</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3190</td>
<td>06/18/2017</td>
<td>Gulf of St. Lawrence</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>&gt;17</td>
<td>MARS2017-144</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Undetermined</td>
<td>Cause of death undetermined, some observations suggest blunt force trauma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1402</td>
<td>06/19/2017</td>
<td>Gulf of St. Lawrence</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>MARS2017-141</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Blunt force trauma (suspected)</td>
<td>Acute internal hemorrhage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3603</td>
<td>6/21/2017</td>
<td>Gulf of St. Lawrence</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>MARS2017-143</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Chronic entanglement (confirmed)</td>
<td>Whale was entangled in snow crab gear between 12 June and 16 June 2017.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1207</td>
<td>6/23/2017</td>
<td>Gulf of St. Lawrence</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>&gt;37</td>
<td>MARS2017-142</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Blunt force trauma (probable)</td>
<td>Acute internal hemorrhage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unk</td>
<td>7/6/2017</td>
<td>Gulf of St. Lawrence</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Unk</td>
<td>MARS2017-145</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Blunt force trauma (probable)</td>
<td>Skull (maxilla, premaxilla) fracture</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2140</td>
<td>7/19/2017</td>
<td>Gulf of St. Lawrence</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>&gt;26</td>
<td>MARS2017-146</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Blunt force trauma (suspected)</td>
<td>Acute internal haemorrhage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unk</td>
<td>7/21/2017</td>
<td>Church Point, Newfoundland</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Unk</td>
<td>M20170095</td>
<td>Limited shore sampling</td>
<td>Undetermined</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unk</td>
<td>7/27/2017</td>
<td>Cape Ray, Newfoundland</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Unk</td>
<td>M20170094</td>
<td>Limited shore sampling</td>
<td>Undetermined</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 4 (cont’d). Documented right whale mortalities 01 November 2016 - 01 October 2017.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Whale #</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Field #</th>
<th>Necropsied?</th>
<th>Cause</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4111</td>
<td>7/30/2017</td>
<td>River of Ponds, Newfoundland</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>F20170093</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Limited shore sampling</td>
<td>Undetermined</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unk</td>
<td>8/6/2017</td>
<td>Martha’s Vineyard</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Unk</td>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Undetermined</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2123</td>
<td>8/9/2017</td>
<td>George’s Bank</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>26</td>
<td></td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Undetermined</td>
<td>Carcass not recovered</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>9/15/2017</td>
<td>Gulf of St. Lawrence</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>MARS2017-312</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Necropsy results pending</td>
<td>Carcass was entangled</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calf of 1604</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Table 5. Right whale entanglements and status updates 01 November 2016 – 01 October 2017. Newly reported entanglements (carrying gear) are bolded.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Whale#</th>
<th>Date of First Sighting</th>
<th>First location</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Age (current)</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3821</td>
<td>01/07/2012</td>
<td>Cape Cod Bay</td>
<td>Unk</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>Previously entangled in 2009. Resighted Jan-Feb 2012 (CCB), May 2012 (GSC), April 2013 (CCB) and Apr 2014 (CCB). There was no evidence of significant change in entanglement configuration at last sighting. Resighted Feb 2017 (SNE). Unclear whether gear remains. Sighting on 05/17/2017 indicates that whale is likely gear free.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3823</td>
<td>09/22/2016</td>
<td>Stellwagen Bank</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>Whale carried line (including rostrum wrap), buoys and weighted gear. Partial disentanglement that likely cut the rostrum wrap. Telemetry buoy attached, found drifting not attached to whale on 9/26/2016. Confirmed gear free on 3/6/2017.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3405</td>
<td>12/04/2016</td>
<td>New York</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>Whale has line and monofilament webbing around rostrum and across right blowhole over the back. Other segments of line may be held in place by webbing. The amount of trailing line is unknown. Not yet resighted.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3530</td>
<td>01/05/2017</td>
<td>17nm east of Little Cumberland Island, GA</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>Line at the head trailing at least one whale's length aft of the animal. Two lines emerged from the right side of the mouth and two twisted lines exited the left side, effectively forming a bridle at the area of the blowholes. The team also felt as though there was likely heavy gear attached to one or more of the trailing lines. Disentanglement effort successfully removed 450 feet of heavy line and a large crab pot. Aerial documentation confirmed the whale to be gear-free.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4146</td>
<td>04/23/2017</td>
<td>Cape Cod Bay</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Length of yellowish line caught in the left side of the mouth. The line is doubled on itself and trails aft of the flukes by about a body length. There appears to be a jumble of line and/or netting near the end of the trailing gear. Disentanglement response unable to work whale. Not yet resighted.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BK01BOF15</td>
<td>7/5/2017</td>
<td>East of Miscou Island, New Brunswick</td>
<td>Unk</td>
<td>&gt;2</td>
<td>Snow crab gear on whale appeared to consist of a buoy and line exiting the left side of the mouth (~3 body lengths long) that was fouled on line exiting the right side of the mouth that went down to weighted gear. The animal was surging out of the water, thrashing with its tail, rolling and bringing its head out of the water. There was extensive rope burn all over the animal (suggesting a constantly changing configuration) with some bleeding at the peduncle region. These factors suggest that the whale had recently become entangled. Disentanglement effort on 7/5/2017 successful and whale confirmed gear free on 7/29/2017.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1317</td>
<td>7/8/2017</td>
<td>East of Miscou Island, New Brunswick</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>Aerial survey team observed whale caught in snow crab buoy line, with rope through the mouth and over the rostrum. Response team not able to relocate whale, however line was found floating at the surface with a bitter end. Some of the line was hauled and discovered it was still attached to a trap/trawl. Whale was resighted on 7/25/2017, 8/18/2017, and 8/25/2017 and no gear was visible.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5 (cont’d). Right whale entanglements and status updates 01 November 2016 – 01 October 2017. Newly reported entanglements (carrying gear) are bolded.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Whale#</th>
<th>Date of First Entanglement Sighting</th>
<th>First location</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Age (current)</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4123</td>
<td>7/9/2017</td>
<td>East of Miscou Island, New Brunswick</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Whale caught in a snow crab gear buoy line at minimum, with multiple body wraps and weighted gear heading to the seafloor. The origin of those wraps appeared to be the mouthline and/or the flipper(s). Disentangled on 7/10/2017. Whale resighted on 7/29/2017 in the Bay of Fundy gear free.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4094</td>
<td>7/19/2017</td>
<td>East of Miscou Island, New Brunswick</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>Entanglement in snow crab gear consists of a buoy line lodged in the right mouthline and trailing aft to a set of buoys with some line sinking, suggesting some weighted gear. It is possible that the buoy line could exit the left side of the mouth, near the gape, and travel under the throat, it seems perhaps more likely that the buoy line is twisted back on itself and lodged within a few plates of baleen on the right side of the mouth. No disentanglement response permitted. Not yet resighted.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3245</td>
<td>8/28/2017</td>
<td>~20 miles east of Perce, Gaspe Peninsula</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>Whale entangled in what appears to be heavy line. Type unknown. The whale was essentially hogtied, with line through its mouth, leading to wraps of the peduncle. The whereabouts of any bitter ends are unknown but based on behavior and line impressed into the right flank, it appears the line leads to heavy weight. No disentanglement response permitted. Not yet resighted.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Monitoring Health of Injured Right Whales

Efforts to better track and monitor the health of anthropogenic injury of North Atlantic right whales were initiated in January 2013. These efforts aim to support annually mandated human induced serious injury and mortality determinations, to reduce the likelihood of undetected and unreported events, and to better assess both short and long term impacts of injury on right whale health. Previously and newly injured right whales with vessel strikes, attached fixed gear, or with moderate to severe entanglement injuries in the absence of attached gear (see Knowlton et al. 2016 for review of injury types) are flagged for monitoring biannually. Each whale’s pre- and post-injury health conditions are evaluated using the visual health assessment technique (Pettis et al. 2004) and a determination of the impact of injury on health is made. Based on the available sighting and health information, whales are assigned to one of four categories: 1) Evidence of declining health coinciding with injury; 2) Inconclusive (this determination was assigned to animals when a: evidence of declining health exists but it was unclear whether or not it was linked to injury and/or b: images/information were inadequate to fully assess health condition visually); 3) No indication of declining health caused by injury based on available images/information; and 4) Extended Monitor - no indication of declining health or whale’s condition has improved but whale will remain on monitoring list because of injury severity and/or is still carrying gear. This last category was created to capture whales without current health impacts related to injury, but with injuries that have the potential to negatively impact future health condition (e.g. some severe vessel strikes, whales carrying gear, etc.).

Between 01 June 2016 and 31 May 2017, twelve new severe injury events were documented for right whales, all of which were entanglement related. Of these twelve, seven exhibited declining condition coinciding with injury. The impact of injury on the health of the remaining five whales was inconclusive. Nine whales previously on the monitoring list were removed: seven became presumed dead and two were removed due to sustained improvement in health condition following injury. As of June 2017, the Serious Injury/Human Impact list includes 61 whales with 68 injuries documented from March 2004 through 31 May 2017 (Table 6). The majority of the injuries are entanglement related (51/61, 83.6%) followed by vessel strikes (9/61, 14.8%). There is one whale on the list with an injury of unknown origin (Table 7).

Table 6. Since the inception of the injured right whale monitoring protocol, the number of injured whales and newly reported injuries has varied by year. The number of whales included on the injured whale list is given for each biannual report and is followed parenthetically by how many of those were newly detected injuries. There are currently seven whales on the injured list with multiple injuries.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>June</th>
<th>December</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>33*</td>
<td>32 (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>45 (16)</td>
<td>50 (6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>51 (4)</td>
<td>59 (9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>60 (4)</td>
<td>63 (8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>61 (4)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*The first injured whale monitoring report was distributed in June 2013 and therefore does not include a comparative number of newly reported injuries.
Table 7. Impact of anthropogenic injury on right whale visual health by injury type based on assessments of photographs pre- and post-injury for all North Atlantic right whales on the Serious Injury/Human Impact list as of June 2017.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Entanglement</th>
<th>Vessel Strike</th>
<th>Other</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Gear Present</td>
<td>No Gear Present</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decline in Condition</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inconclusive</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No Decline in Condition</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extended Monitor</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*This represents the number of whales on the monitoring list. Seven of these whales have each had second injuries documented since their initial injury sighting. For purposes of this report, whales are included under the category representing their most recent injury.

Aerial and Vessel-based Sighting Summary: 2016

Prior to the 2017 Report Card, sighting information was reported for the time period following the previous NARWC Annual Meeting. However, that reporting included the current year for which not all data has necessarily been received and/or processed. Therefore, beginning with the 2017 Report Card, sighting summaries will be presented for the previous calendar year. Cataloged sighting information for the year 2016 (analyzed 01 September 2017) is summarized below and includes survey, research, and opportunistic sightings. Months with sightings and major contributing organizations (>10% total sightings for region) are listed after total number of sightings. Summaries of survey types (if available) are listed below each region.

Major Contributing Organizations

- CCS: Center for Coastal Studies
- CWI: Canadian Whale Institute
- DFO: Fisheries and Oceans Canada
- DN: Doug Nowacek
- FWRI: Florida Fish and Wildlife Research Institute
- GDNR: Georgia Department of Natural Resources
- MICS: Mingan Island Cetacean Studies
- NEAq: New England Aquarium
- NEFSC: Northeast Fisheries Science Center
- PCAN: Parks Canada
- QLM: Quoddy Links Marine
- ROSE: Rob Seeburger
- S2S: Sea to Shore Alliance
- VAQF: Virginia Aquarium and Marine Science Center Foundation
- WHOI: Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution

Southeast United States (sightings: 344, January – March, November; FWRI, GDNR, S2S, DN)
- Aerial and vessel surveys, biopsy darting, tagging

Mid-Atlantic (includes south of Cape Cod) (sightings: 12, January - February; NEFSC, ROSE, VAQF/UNCW)
- Aerial surveys

Great South Channel (sightings: 171, April – June, November; NEFSC, CCS)
- Aerial and vessel surveys

New England (Massachusetts Bay/Cape Cod Bay) (sightings: 854, January – May, September, December; CCS, WHOI)
- Aerial and vessel surveys, habitat sampling, drone based photogrammetry

Gulf of Maine (sightings: 111, April – June, August – September, November; CCS, NEFSC)
- Aerial and vessel surveys

Bay of Fundy (sightings: 509, July - October; NEAq, QLM)
  - Vessel surveys

Roseway Basin (sightings: 2, June, August; NEFSC, DFO)
  - Vessel and aerial surveys

North (sightings: 136, July - October; CWI, MICS)
  - Vessel surveys

East (sightings: 3, September; PCAN)
  - Opportunistic

**Right Whale Project Requests for NARWC Data Use in 2017**
- Assessment of right whale seasonal distribution along the western shore of Mass Bay in order to assess the feasibility of using weak ropes during certain parts of the winter and spring
- Marine mammal mapping for assessment of offshore drilling site
- Biophysical and Ecological Overview of the Cape Breton Trough Area of Interest
- Development and application of novel statistical models to cope with different survival and movement probabilities when NARW subpopulations subscribe to different migratory strategies
- Analytic support for development and implementation of the Atlantic Large Whale Take Reduction Plan
- Examine the impacts of offshore drilling and seismic testing within and around the critical habitat and migratory corridor of the North Atlantic right whale population
- Combining genetic and photo-identification data to improve abundance estimates for the North Atlantic right whale

**Management and Mitigation Activities**

**Canada**
- In November 2016, the Government of Canada announced the Oceans Protection Plan (OPP), which includes a commitment to address the threats to three marine mammal populations: Southern Resident Killer Whale (SRKW), St. Lawrence Estuary Beluga, and North Atlantic Right Whale (NARW).

- In response to the deaths of 12 right whales in the Gulf of St. Lawrence (GSL) between early June and mid-September, DFO and partners conducted seven full necropsies and sampled another five carcasses. Information from these necropsies and sampling contributed to an understanding of the causes of death of six of the right whales.

- Additional activities undertaken in response to the GSL mortality event:
  - Issued notice to the commercial fishing industry in the GSL asking fishermen to watch for whales and to report any sightings.
  - Broadcast notices on the marine radio system to request shipping and fishing industries be on alert for whales.
  - In addition to the toll-free number and the Whale Alert website, individuals can use the established VHF channel 16 to report on observations of dead or injured whales and the Coast Guard will relay the information to the appropriate authorities.
  - Worked with partners to patrol the coast to monitor and assess any reports of dead or distressed whale sightings.
  - Surveillance flights to confirm positions of live right whales in the GSL.
  - The Government of Canada implemented a temporary mandatory slow-down of vessels 20 meters or more to a maximum of 10 knots when travelling in the western Gulf of St. Lawrence from the Quebec north shore to just north of Prince Edward Island. This represents a reduction of speed of approximately one third, assuming average vessel speeds of 15 knots.
- Closed Snow Crab Fishing Area 12 in the southern Gulf of St. Lawrence early (all fishing gear was removed from the water).
- Other fixed gear fisheries restricted to shallow water (less than 20 fathoms), resulting in some fisheries not opening or having delayed openings.
- New requirement for the halibut long-line fishery in the Gulf of St. Lawrence to tend gear.
- Provided $56,000 towards the Whales Habitat and Listening Experiment (WHaLE) to support the development of a real-time whale alert system for mariners, which can inform measures to help reduce whale and ship collisions in Canadian waters.

United States
- NMFS received five requests for authorization to take marine mammals incidental to conducting geophysical survey activity in the Atlantic Ocean. Pursuant to the Marine Mammal Protection Act (MMPA), NMFS requested comments on its proposal to issue incidental harassment authorizations (IHA) to incidentally take marine mammals during the specified activities. Comments and information were due no later than July 6, 2017.
- On 25 August 2017, NOAA Fisheries declared an Unusual Mortality Event (UME) for North Atlantic right whales throughout their range, based on elevated strandings along the Atlantic coast, predominantly in the Gulf of St. Lawrence region in Canada.
- NOAA called for 12 Dynamic Management Area (DMA) voluntary speed reduction zones between 01 November 2016 and 01 October 2017:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Location</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2/21/2017</td>
<td>16nm S of Martha's Vineyard</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/6/2017</td>
<td>16nm S of Martha's Vineyard</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/21/2017</td>
<td>22nm SW of Nantucket</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/25/2017</td>
<td>12nm ENE of Boston</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/9/2017</td>
<td>19nm SSE of Nantucket</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/19/2017</td>
<td>15nm SSW of Nantucket</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/4/2017</td>
<td>15nm SSW of Block Island</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/19/2017</td>
<td>80nm E of New York</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6/15/2017</td>
<td>13nm S of Nantucket</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/3/2017</td>
<td>2nm S of Nantucket</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/16/2017</td>
<td>2nm S of Nantucket</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/29/2017</td>
<td>S of Nantucket to Nantucket</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sound, W to Vineyard Sound</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2017 North Atlantic Right Whale Publications/Reports
Reports and publications that utilized NARWC databases in 2017 and/or those of general interest to the right whale community are listed and hyperlinked below.


**Reports**


**Books**

REFERENCES


Methods for assessing the number of photo-identified right whales within the population over time

Schick Model Population Estimate

In Schick et al. 2013, we built a hierarchical Bayesian model that estimates latent, or true, health of individual right whales using observed data of their visual health status. Specifically, health is estimated as a function of the animal’s observed body condition, observed skin condition, observed rake mark status, observed cyamid load, and the animal’s age (in years). In addition to estimating health and movement status, in the model we estimate individual survival from one month to the next using a Bernoulli model:

$$\Pr(S_{ik,t} = 1) = Bernoulli(\theta_{ik,t})$$

$$logit(\theta_{ik,t}) = x_{ik,t}$$

where the design vector ($X\beta$) contains latent health status and a fixed effect for sighting region. Note that in our model iterations to date, we have not been able to differentiate the parameters for zone—so these are all fixed. Essentially, survival is a function of health, which in turn is a function of age and observed health.

The output from this is a monthly survival probability for each animal. In these estimates, we have three fates: 1) observed dead; 2) estimated month of death; and 3) assumed alive. Because the second fate is stochastic, there is a distribution around the most likely month of death. Here we simply depict the most likely month of death for each animal, i.e. the median posterior probability. By extracting these probabilities, we assemble a vector of the month denoting each of the three states: observed dead, estimated died, and assumed alive. Using that vector, we can tally the population size in each month or year combination.

We ran the model using new data. Specifically, we ran the model using data as of September 1, 2017 including photo-identification data complete through 2016 and Visual Health data complete through December 2015. We also included new effort data – extracting from the right whale database, maintained at the University of Rhode Island, all the effort up through December 2016.

Since the year of death is an estimated parameter in a Bayesian framework, we can initialize this for each animal in a variety of ways. For example, we could start the model run with the assumption that all animals are alive up through the end of the data (save of course for the known-dead animals whose time of death is not estimated but rather known). Alternatively, we could assume that the animals are dead after they have not been seen for 6 years. Finally, the approach we have taken here is to initialize the month of death vector using the longest observed sighting gap for that animal. For example, if an animal’s longest sighting gap was 4 months, then the starting value for the estimated month of death would be 4 months after their last sighting. If in contrast, and animal’s longest sighting gap was 18 months, then the starting value would be 18 months following their last sighting. We feel this approach accounts for the sighting heterogeneity present in the sightings database; however, we stress that this is simply a way to initialize the imputed time of death. Through the runs of the Gibbs sampler, the chain should converge on the posterior probability, regardless of initial value. We are currently investigating the impacts of these alternate model formulations on the final tally of imputed deaths each year. In addition, here we have only presented the median posterior probability for estimated time of death. A fuller analysis will include integrating across the posterior to show the median and 95% Bayesian Credible Interval for animals alive.

Report Card Population Assessment Calculation

We have developed standardized criteria that can be applied each year to get a low, middle (best estimate) and upper number of whales in the population as determined from Catalog data. One term needs to be explained to understand these numbers. Whales are given temporary intermatch codes if 1) two or more sightings match each other, and 2) neither have been matched to a catalog whale. Some of these whales will eventually be matched to existing cataloged whales and others will be determined to be “new” to the Catalog and assigned a number. Once an intermatch whale is given a Catalog number, or matched to another intermatch code whale, the intermatch code is made inactive.
To determine the lower bound, we simply count the number of unique cataloged whales identified the year before. Because of delays in processing data, this number is lower than the eventual total number of whales seen alive in that year.

The middle bound is determined by summing three categories:

1) All whales presumed to be alive in that year (i.e. seen in the last six years),
2) Intermatch whales that are likely to be added to the Catalog. This is calculated by first finding all intermatch codes that span two or more years (both those that are active and those that were matched and made inactive), removing all calves and any SEUS whales whose sightings span two years only because they are seen in December and January of the same field season. Then, we determine which of those intermatch whales have Catalog numbers and what percent of those were new to the catalog (i.e. had not been matched to an existing cataloged whale). The remaining, unidentified intermatch whales are then multiplied by that fraction to determine how many are likely new to the Catalog (e.g. if only 20% of the matched intermatch whales were new, then 20% of the unmatched intermatch whales are likely new). That number is then added to the count of calves born more than two years earlier that are unmatched with active intermatch codes (indicating there is enough information to potentially match them in the future). Process changed Oct. 2009.
3) Calves from the last two years that have not been cataloged. We make an assessment of whether there is enough photographic information to likely be able to match them to future sightings and thus eventually assign them a Catalog number. We then sum those that will likely be cataloged.

The upper bound is also the sum of three categories:

1) All Cataloged whales minus those whose carcasses were identified. Even whales missing for 30 years included.
2) All active intermatch whales minus calves from the last two years.
3) All calves from the last two years minus those known to be dead.
Information contained within this booklet is intended for use at the 2017 North Atlantic Right Whale Consortium Annual Meeting. Data and analyses presented in these abstracts are not peer reviewed and are not to be cited. Any questions regarding content should be directed to the corresponding author.

NOAA Fisheries Service (NMFS) Update on North Atlantic Right Whale Recovery Actions
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NOAA’s National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS) will provide an update on management activities under the Marine Mammal Protection Act (MMPA) and Endangered Species Act (ESA) to promote the protection, conservation and recovery of the North Atlantic right whale population. NMFS will provide updates on: (1) the re-initiation of the ESA Section 7 consultations for the U.S. American Lobster and Batched Fisheries Biological Opinions; (2) the MMPA “Import Rule”; (3) the ESA Five-Year Review for North Atlantic Right Whales; and (4) the recent US/Canada joint initiatives to address right whale abundance and anthropogenic mortality.

Current Efforts to Mitigate Ship Strikes Using Real-Time Acoustic Monitoring of Right Whales from Autonomous Platforms

Baumgartner, M.2; Davies, K.1; Durette-Morin, D.1; Gurnee, J.3; Johnson, H.1; Taggart, C.1; van Parijs, S.3

1 Dalhousie University, Dept. of Oceanography, Halifax, NS
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The location of most of the right whale population is unknown most of the time, and this is a fundamental factor limiting effective ship strike mitigation. To help address this issue, a system was developed to continuously monitor right whales in near real-time from autonomous ocean platforms. The system (DMON-LFDCS) consists of a hydrophone that records low frequency audio and software that detects and classifies right whale sounds from the recorded audio. A subset of ~25% of this data is transmitted to a shore station via Iridium satellite, where it is validated by a trained analyst and then disseminated to stakeholders. Since 2014, the DMON-LFDCS has been deployed on Slocum gliders and buoys to monitor right whale presence from the New York Bight to the Gulf of St. Lawrence, which encompasses the core right whale feeding range. Right whales have been detected in near real-time by these platforms over 700 times. Validation of the real-time protocol demonstrates that it correctly detects true right whale acoustic presence with nearly 100% accuracy. Missed detection rates, which are moderate, can be reduced by increasing the subset of data sent via Iridium satellite. We summarize current applications of the technology in Canadian waters, including monitoring during the Gulf of St. Lawrence mortality event, in high-use shipping routes and a seasonal Area to be Avoided. We conclude with a vision for the future of the widespread implementation of this system to conduct real-time monitoring and mitigation of ship strikes in the Northwest Atlantic.

North Atlantic Right Whale Species Decline and Life Expectancy

Corkeron, P.1

1 NOAA Northeast Fisheries Science Center, 166 Water Street, Woods Hole, MA 02543, USA

peter.corkeron@noaa.gov

After two decades of slow, intermittent increase, the abundance of North Atlantic right whales peaked in 2010/2011 and then declined to 2015. Catalog data for 2016 are currently being analyzed to determine whether the decline continued into that year. An added concern is that female North Atlantic right whales have lower survival than males, so there are now 3 males for every 2 females of the species. The basics of population biology tell us that a species declines because deaths outnumber births. How can the data contained in the North Atlantic right whale Catalog help us use demographic data to develop conservation solutions? In this talk, I shall briefly run through the trends in abundance of North Atlantic right whales for the past 25 years. I shall then discuss new demographic analyses that illuminate the interaction between female survival and calving rates, and how these are impacting this species. I shall also emphasize the importance of calling on concepts from evolutionary ecology and behavioral ecology, and using the comparative approaches, to improve our understanding of the problems facing North Atlantic right whales.
North Atlantic Right Whale (Eubalaena glacialis) Mortality Event in the Gulf of St. Lawrence, 2017
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In 2017, an unprecedented mortality event occurred in the Gulf of St. Lawrence. Between June 6 – Sept 15, 2017, twelve endangered North Atlantic right whales were found dead at sea or along the shores of western Newfoundland. During the same period, five live, entangled right whales were also observed. Two of these animals were disentangled and a re-sighting of another animal indicated it had shed the gear on its own. The fate of the remaining two animals is unknown. Seven necropsies were performed on whales brought to shore in Norway PEI, the Magdalen Islands, Québec, and Miscou Island, New Brunswick. Based on necropsy findings, four animals were considered to have died acutely as a result of trauma likely caused by vessel collisions. One animal was confirmed to have died from a chronic entanglement in fishing gear. Changes observed in the carcasses on which these conclusions were based were interpreted as antemortem. The cause of death of one animal could not be determined because of advanced post mortem decomposition, but some observations in this animal suggested blunt trauma. The necropsy results of the entangled animal necropsied on September 19, 2017 are not yet available as analyses are pending, although the nature of the entanglement and the animal’s body condition suggest that entanglement was the cause of death. An eighth animal was sampled at sea on June 22 and although cause of death could not be determined without a necropsy, limited samples obtained from this carcass suggested an acute death. No evidence was found to support the involvement of biotoxins, infectious diseases, or starvation as the primary causes of mortality in this investigation. Samples for genetic analysis were obtained from all the necropsied and sampled animals, including the four carcasses which came ashore in western Newfoundland. Genetic and photographic analyses conducted confirmed there were 12 individual whales involved in this incident to date. Necropsy findings of blunt force trauma and entanglement coincide with high levels of fisheries activity and maritime traffic in the Gulf of St. Lawrence. The investigations confirm that vessel strikes and entanglement in fishing gear continue to be the key threats to the recovery of North Atlantic right whales. These results also indicate that these threats are present in the Gulf of St. Lawrence, an area not previously focused on for the protection and recovery of this endangered species. More work is urgently needed to understand right whale habitat use in the Gulf of St. Lawrence, as well as the human activities in these waters and their risk to right whales, to prevent further deaths.

Right Whale Distribution: Historical Perspectives and Recent Shifts

Hamilton, P.K1; Davis, G.E.2,3

1 Anderson Cabot Center for Ocean Life, New England Aquarium, Central Wharf, Boston, MA 02110, USA
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phamilton@neaq.org

Over 35 years of dedicated right whale surveys have taught us much about North Atlantic right whale distribution. The boundaries of five critical habitats were described based on sightings from these surveys: the southeastern U.S. (winter), Cape Cod Bay (winter/early spring), Great South Channel (spring/early summer), and the Bay of Fundy and Roseway Basin (both summer and fall). In 2016, the boundaries of two were expanded in response to additional sightings and acoustic data. There has been some variation in distribution in all of these habitats over the years, but the most dramatic shift occurred starting in 2011 when sightings in all but Cape Cod Bay declined; this change has largely persisted since that time. From 2015 to 2017, directed right whale surveys discovered 40 to 100 whales, including mothers with calves, in a previously unsurveyed area in the Gulf of St Lawrence between Miscou and the Madeleine islands; it is unclear whether whales have been in this area in previous years in similar numbers and were simply not detected, or if this represents an influx of whales into the region. Photo-identification data and tracks from satellite tagged whales demonstrate that right whales can change habitat preferences and travel large distances in short periods of time. Passive acoustic monitoring (PAM) data supplement the sightings data, and can provide long-term, broad-scale information. This monitoring technique is affordable, provides continuous data on whale presence over a larger area, and is not light or weather dependent. It cannot, however, distinguish between whale absence and whales that are present but not calling. PAM data collected since 2004 show that right whales can be spread out along...
the entire eastern seaboard in most months with, for example, detections from the Scotian Shelf down to Florida in the month of January. Collaboration among researchers sharing right whale sightings and acoustic data has been critical in our current understanding of right whale habitat use. These collaborations across geographic areas and countries need to be maintained and expanded to allow us to better understand the dynamic distribution of this population.

**Historical Overview of North Atlantic Right Whale Entanglements and Their Impacts**

Knowlton, A.R.1; Marx, M.K1.; Hamilton, P.K1.; Pettis, H.M.1; Kraus, S.D.1
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The annual monitoring of entanglement rates in North Atlantic right whales now spans a 36 year timeframe from 1980 to 2015 with a total of 1,390 entanglement interactions as evidenced by attached fishing gear or by the resulting scars. These involved 84.9% of the 723 assessed individuals with some whales showing evidence of interaction with fishing gear as many as seven times over the course of their lives. An assessment of entanglement injury severity found that the proportion of moderate and severe injuries versus minor injuries has increased significantly over this time span and severe injuries are more likely to lead to diminished health, reduced reproduction, and lower survival. Reproductive females were more negatively impacted than all other demographic groups. Since the latter part of the 1990’s, the complexity of entanglements has increased and the vast majority of entanglements are considered high risk. This increase in risk coincides with improvements in rope manufacturing which has resulted in stronger fibers as well as an expansion of fishing effort in many fixed gear fisheries. Although disentanglement efforts have saved some individual whales, no one considers it a solution to the entanglement issue due to the dangers to humans and the limited ability to find and disentangle most entangled right whales. The operational changes imposed on U.S. fishermen thus far have not resulted in a reduction in entanglement rates or a reduction in injury severity. However, since numerous entanglements are known to occur in Canadian waters where no similar measures are presently in place, any potential improvements as a result of changes in U.S. fishing operations may be obscured. As improvements are made to fishing operations in the U.S. and Canada to address this crisis, we will continue to monitor annual levels of entanglement interaction and severity levels to assess whether any changes are having the intended benefit.

**Unexpected Consequences of Shifting Distributions and Conflicts with Human Activities: Implications for Right Whale Recovery**

Kraus, S.D.1; Brown, M.W.1; Hagbloom, M.H1; Hamilton, P.K.1; Knowlton, A.R.1; Marx, M.1; Pendleton, D.E.1; Pettis, H.M.1; Rolland, R.M1; Werner, T.B.1 Zani, M.A.1
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From 2010 to now, right whale seasonal distributions have shifted. Large reductions in the summer Fundy and Roseway aggregations, and the springtime Great South Channel aggregations occurred. In the spring, Cape Cod Bay right whale numbers increased significantly. New right whale aggregation areas have been observed south of Nantucket in winter and spring and in the Gulf of St Lawrence in the summer. If food is limited in normal seasonal habitats, these distributional shifts indicate right whales are looking for food elsewhere. Searching increases energetic demands, and if food is patchy, female weight gain can be slowed, delaying reproduction. Annual calf production over the last 5 years (2012-2016) is 45% lower than the previous 5 years (2007-2011). Only 3 calves were born in 2017, the lowest number in 16 years. In addition, as right whales search further afield for prey, entanglement probability increases. Right whale sightings, entanglements, and mortalities in the Gulf of St Lawrence in 2015 and 2016 indicate this northerly shift has led to increasing conflicts with human activities there. An estimated 4.3 right whales were killed annually by human activities from 2009 to 2013, mostly from fishing gear. Until 2009, 44% of diagnosed right whale mortalities were vessel strikes and 35% were entanglements; after 2010, 15% were vessel strikes and 85% were entanglements. Further, the latest NMFS report indicates human-caused serious injury and mortalities are increasing. As of 2015, 83% of all North Atlantic right whales have been entangled at least once. New data indicates that non-lethal entanglements can cause reproductive failure and declining health long after the entanglement. The combined factors of reduced reproduction and increased mortality from human causes are double jeopardy for right whales, turning a slow recovery into a decline. However, there are actions, which if taken immediately, can reverse these trends. Fishing gear modifications, specifically of rope, to both prevent and reduce the severity of entanglements now exist. In some places closures may be necessary. Joint Canadian and US discussions (including fishermen, scientists, shipping companies, and managers) are urgently
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needed to develop a coordinated strategy for reducing mortality in this species.

**Entangled North Atlantic Right Whales, October 2016 – October 2017**

Landry, S.¹; Brown, M.W.²,³

¹ Marine Animal Entanglement Response, Center for Coastal Studies, 5 Holway Ave., Provincetown MA 02657
² Canadian Whale Institute, 20 Morning Star Lane, Wilson’s Beach, NB, Canada E5E 1S9
³ Anderson Cabot Center for Ocean Life at the New England Aquarium, Central Wharf, Boston, MA, USA 02110
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The Atlantic Large Whale Disentanglement Network extends its heartfelt gratitude to Joe Howlett and condolences to his family and friends. Joe died in the service of whale conservation and is deeply missed.

Since October 2016, there were 15 confirmed right whale entanglement sightings of 11 individual whales located between the Gulf of St. Lawrence (GSL), Canada and Georgia, US. Ten new cases were confirmed, one case was ongoing from 2012. New cases were higher than average over the last decade (5.2) but within the range (2-11) for newly discovered cases. Two were discovered as carcasses; 3603-Starboard and the 2015 calf of 1604. Four cases were likely resolved (3530-Ruffian, WR-2017-06, 4123, and 1317-Ergo) and four whales are at large (3405-Fuse, 4146, 4049-Mayport, and 3245). The ongoing case involved 3821 with a small length of line trapped in his baleen (first discovered in 2012) appears to have been shed. The network is urged to keep an eye out for the at large whales and report any sightings immediately. Gear samples were collected in a minimum of four of the cases: 3530, 3603, WR-2017-06, and 3245). Of the 10 new cases, seven were discovered in snow crab gear in the GSL, prompting an early closure of that fishery by DFO in Area 12, southern GSL. All of these whales had line through the mouth, at minimum, and had limited mobility due to the heavy characteristics of the gear. Both DFO and NOAA temporarily suspended disentanglement operations for all whales after the death of Joe Howlett, with a resumption of most entanglement response activities after a preliminary review (as of writing, DFO has continued its ban on entanglement response for right whales pending an official investigation by Transport Canada). Equipping of the network and training sessions continued during this past year.

**Fisheries and Oceans Canada (DFO) Update on North Atlantic Right Whale Recovery Planning and Management Activities**

Merriman, C.¹

¹ Fisheries and Oceans Canada, Bedford Institute of Oceanography, 1 Challenger Dr., PO Box 1006, Dartmouth, NS, B2Y 4A2 CANADA

Catherine.Merriman@dfo-mpo.gc.ca

Fisheries and Oceans Canada (DFO) will provide an update about activities underway in support of North Atlantic right whale recovery under the Species at Risk Act, and management measures that have been put in place to reduce entanglement and collision risk to right whales in Canadian waters. This will include activities in response to entanglements and mortalities of right whales in the Gulf of St. Lawrence in 2017.

**Department of Fisheries and Oceans Canada: An Update on Research and Monitoring Activities**

Moors-Murphy, H.B.¹

¹ Fisheries and Oceans Canada, Bedford Institute of Oceanography, 1 Challenger Drive, Dartmouth, N.S., B2Y 4A2

hilary.moors-murphy@dfo-mpo.gc.ca

Fisheries and Oceans Canada leads and collaborates on many research and monitoring projects relevant to cetaceans in the Northwest Atlantic, including activities focused on increasing our understanding of North Atlantic right whale distribution, movement patterns and habitat use in eastern Canadian waters. This presentation will provide an overview of recent science activities that have been or are being conducted that will contribute to our knowledge of right whale occurrence in Canadian waters. These activities include visual survey and surveillance efforts, passive acoustic monitoring projects, habitat suitability modelling, prey studies and other work.
North Atlantic Right Whale Report Card

Pettis, H.M.1; Pace, R.M.III2; Schick, R.S.3; Hamilton, P.K.1
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North Atlantic Right Whale Consortium members agreed in 2004 that an annual “report card” on the status of right whales would be useful. This report card includes updates on the status of the cataloged population, mortalities and injury events, and a summary of management and research efforts that have occurred over the previous 12 months. In 2017, five right whale calves were born. There were no first time mothers and the average calving interval was 10.2 years. There were 15 documented right whale mortalities in the last 12 months: three in the United States and 12 in Canada. Causes of death included blunt force trauma (five), entanglement (one), and probable entanglement (one). Cause of death was undetermined for eight whales. Eight new live entanglement cases were documented including three in the United States and five in Canada. There were no new non-lethal vessel strikes documented. Twelve severe injury cases, all of which were entanglement related, were documented since the 2016 report card. Research and management initiatives were varied and will be summarized. The best population estimate for the North Atlantic right whales in 2016 (the most recent year for which photo identification data analyses are deemed complete) is 451 individuals.

Assessing Speed Reductions: Efficacy, Data Gaps, and Opportunities

van der Hoop, J. M.1,2

1 Zoophysiology, Department of Bioscience, Aarhus University, Aarhus, Denmark
2 Biology Department, Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution, Woods Hole, MA, USA
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Vessel strikes remain a significant contributor of mortality and morbidity to North Atlantic right whales throughout their range in U.S. and Canadian waters. Various management strategies can and have been implemented with the intention of reducing the probability of vessel strikes or their lethality. Here I will discuss how we have assessed the effectiveness of these strategies, focusing on the information we need to accurately assess the costs and benefits of these voluntary recommendations or mandatory regulations. It is essential that existing measures be assessed for their ability to achieve their objectives, and to determine what factors may contribute to their success or failure. Doing so aids in the design of amendments and of effective management strategies to be implemented elsewhere.

Mitigation of Vessel-Strike Risk to North Atlantic Right Whales in Canadian Waters: Historical Perspectives and Updated Risk Analyses

Vanderlaan, A.S.M1,2,3; Brown, M.W.3,4; Taggart, C.T.2
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Lethal vessel strikes hamper the recovery of endangered North Atlantic right whales (Eubalaena glacialis). We summarize Canadian policies implemented to reduce vessel-strike risk to right whales between 1992 and 2017. When mariner-awareness programs proved ineffective, probability analyses resulted in area-specific, vessel-traffic schemes adopted by the International Maritime Organization and Canada, to reduce likelihood of a vessel striking a right whale. The first was an amendment to the traffic separation scheme (TSS) in the Bay of Fundy and the second a recommendatory (i.e., voluntary) area to be avoided (ATBA) in the Roseway Basin, Scotian Shelf. The Marine Stewardship Recognition Program has since been used to communicate with vessel operators navigating the Roseway region and to evaluate the effectiveness of the ATBA. Annual weekly compliance estimates stabilized at an average of 80% ± 4% over 2009 through 2014. New estimates for 2015 and 2016 will be presented for comparison. Updated risk analyses for enhanced conservation in the Bay of Fundy given increased vessel traffic that may result from the proposed Energy East Pipeline show that additional speed restrictions in the TSS could reduce the existing risk by ~42% if all vessels complied with a 10 knot speed restriction. Preliminary analyses show that compliance with a voluntary 10 knot speed restriction zone in the Gulf of St. Lawrence in
summer 2017 was low. However, following the implementation of a mandatory 10 knot restriction zone, average vessel speeds within the zone averaged 9.0 knots (± 2.4 SD). We conclude that although not all conservation initiatives have been successful, and vessel strikes still continue in Canadian waters, the initiatives implemented around known critical habitats have reduced lethal-strike risks and similar initiatives could be extended to other risk-prone areas.

Preventing Entanglements of North Atlantic Right Whales (Eubalaena glacialis) in Pot Fishing Gear

Werner, T.B.1,2; Partan, J.3; Ball, K.R.3; Haviland, J.4; Knowlton, A.R.1; Malloy, R.1; Casoni, B.5
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2 Department of Biology, Boston University, Boston, MA 02215, USA
3 Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution, 266 Woods Hole Rd., Woods Hole, MA 02543-1050 USA
4 South Shore Lobster Fishermen’s Association
5 Massachusetts Lobstermen’s Association, 8 Otis Place, Scituate, MA 02066-1323 USA
twerner@neaq.org

Lethal and sub-lethal consequences from entanglement in commercial fishing gear are considered the principal threat to the critically endangered North Atlantic right whale (NARW) (Eubalaena glacialis), with a population currently estimated at fewer than 500 individuals. Fisheries management interventions, implemented primarily for pot and gillnet gear in US waters, have failed to reverse the incidence and severity of entanglements for the population as a whole. These measures have included fishing area closures, gear modifications, and disentanglement of animals carrying gear. We discuss these and other management measures, and present evidence to support those that will most likely lead to preventing entanglements while supporting the persistence of pot fishing in the eastern US and Canadian Maritimes. We focus in particular on the potential for using whale-release ropes, rope-less fishing, and at-call pop-up buoys that keep vertical lines at depth, and present the results of on-going trials evaluating the feasibility of these technologies in the northeastern US. Rope-less fishing and bottom-stowed vertical lines are the only techniques that for certain prevent entanglements, but their adoption requires that important fisheries management and operational concerns be addressed. These include an increase in gear conflicts in the absence of a substitute to surface buoys for identifying the presence of gear, concerns regarding enforcement, and regulatory changes to replace current buoy marking schemes. Although long considered an impractical option by many in the fishing industry and US Government, the challenges of rope-less fishing are less technological than economic, social, and managerial. As the only approach identified that can prevent entanglements with certainty, their evaluation should be a priority for the fishing industry in collaboration with engineers and fisheries managers, especially given the critical status of the NARW and the possibility that a lack of gear-based solutions might lead regulators to implement far more draconian measures affecting commercial pot and gillnet fishermen.
Southeast U.S. Aerial Surveys
December 1, 2016 – March 31, 2017
Sea to Shore Alliance
Florida Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission
Funding provided by: FWC, Georgia Department of Natural Resources, NOAA Fisheries, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, U.S. Coast Guard, and U.S. Navy

On Effort Flights are defined as: Beaufort sea state < 4, visibility ≥ 2 NM (3.7 km), and altitude < 1200 ft (366 m). Tracklines flown for directed/verification surveys, while circling a sighting, or in transit between survey lines are not included. Effort is displayed in 3 x 3 NM grid cells.
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Summary of Results
Days w/Survey Effort: 56
Trackline NM: 38,260
Sighting Events: 18
Total Right Whales: 29
Total Indv. Whales: 7
Indv. Mother-calf Pairs: 3

Demographics:
- Female w/ calf, 3
- Adult Male, 1
  *Entangled
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- Aerial Survey Season – 06 December 2016 – 10 May 2017
- Number of surveys – 29 (24 Cape Cod Bay, 5 East of Cape Cod)
- Survey effort – 7300 nm flown (12,386 km)
- Right whales documented - ≥251
- Cow-calf pairs – 4
Right whale sightings recorded during aerial surveys conducted in the wind energy areas off Massachusetts and Rhode Island by the Anderson Cabot Center for Ocean Life at the New England AquariumFebruary to September 2017

Survey effort and results:
- No. of aerial surveys: 23
- Trackline miles (nautical): 9,974
- Aerial survey hours: 115
- Right whales documented: 140

Survey team:
- Chief scientist: Ester Quintana; Observers: Paul Nagelkirk and Angela Bostwick.

Funding sources:
- Massachusetts Clean Energy Center and the Bureau of Ocean Energy Management.
Completed surveys with a NOAA Twin Otter on 18 days from April 9 through May 28
Total flight time (including transits) was 95.2 hours
Observed right whales on 15 of the 18 survey days
Sighted 243 right whales (including repeats of individuals) with maximum aggregation size of 35
Matched 125 unique individuals to the North Atlantic Right Whale Catalog including:
- 35 Adult Females (including 2 moms not seen on the calving grounds)
- 9 Juvenile Females
- 2 Females of unknown age
- 61 Adult Males
- 12 Juvenile Males
- 4 Adults of unknown gender
- 2 Unknown age and unknown gender
Resighted 8 whales being monitored for health
Aerial right whale surveys in Canadian waters conducted in partnership with the National Oceanic Atmospheric Administration, Fisheries and Oceans Canada, Transport Canada, and Environment and Climate Change Canada

Platforms:
- **NOAA Twin Otter**
  - 22 Jun – 31 Jul
  - Number of survey days = 18
  - Surveys ongoing

- **DFO Twin Otter**
  - 29 Aug – 25 Sep
  - Number of survey days = 11
  - Surveys ongoing

- **MART Dash-8**
  - 8 Aug – 27 Sep
  - Number of survey days = 18
  - Surveys ongoing

Preliminary results of photo analyses (22 Jun – 30 Aug):
- 117 identified individuals
- 110 matched to the Right Whale Catalog
- 28 Adult females (no current moms), 8 juvenile females, 1 female of unknown age
- 60 adult males, 12 juvenile males
- 1 unknown age and gender
Vessel-based right whale surveys in the Gulf of St. Lawrence by the Canadian Whale Institute and the Anderson Cabot Center for Ocean Life at the New England Aquarium

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Cruise 1</th>
<th>Cruise 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cruise Dates</td>
<td>03 July-10 July, 2017</td>
<td>02 August-12 August, 2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of survey days</td>
<td>5 survey days</td>
<td>5 survey days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trackline Miles</td>
<td>363 nm / 673 km</td>
<td>411 nm / 762 km</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of right whale sightings (photo-documented)</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total number of right whale individuals identified</td>
<td>At least 37 individuals (8 sightings are currently unmatched)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of mom/calf pairs</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Survey team: **Canadian Whale Institute** - Delphine Durette-Morin, Capt. Dave Anthony and Capt. Joe Howlett; **Dalhousie University** – Hansen Johnson; **Department of Fisheries and Oceans** – Pam Emery; **New England Aquarium** - Marianna Hagbloom, Philip Hamilton, Kelsey Howe, Amy Knowlton and Megan McOsker; **Northeast Fisheries Science Center** – Pete Duley.

Funding provided by: Habitat Stewardship Program of Environment Climate Change Canada, Irving Oil, and Sarah Haney.

Work was conducted under section 73 SARA permit issued by Department of Fisheries and Oceans Gulf Region (permit no. DFO-GLF-2017-01) and Quebec Region (permit no. QUE-LEP-002-2017). Map provided by Brooke Hodge.
Vessel-based right whale surveys in the Bay of Fundy by the Anderson Cabot Center for Ocean Life at the New England Aquarium

26 July – 12 Sept 2017

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Month</th>
<th>Number of survey days</th>
<th>Trackline miles</th>
<th>Number of right whale sightings</th>
<th>Number of individual right whales/month</th>
<th>Number of mom/calf pairs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>July</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>245 nm/454 km</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>at least 25 (1 unmatched)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>August</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>786 nm/1457 km</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>at least 17 (2 unmatched)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>September</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>161 nm/ 298 km</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>1192 nm/2209 km</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>34*</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Total number of right whale individuals documented during survey effort for all months.

**Survey team:** Johanna Anderson, Moe Brown, Liz Burgess, Marianna Hagbloom, Philip Hamilton, Kelsey Howe, Celia Jellison, Amy Knowlton, Marilyn Marx, Anne McGhie, Megan McOsker, Brigid McKenna, Bill McWeeny, Dan Pendleton, Heather Pettis, and Monica Zani

**Funding provided by:** Irving Oil (St John, New Brunswick, Canada) and Island Foundation (Marion, MA, USA)

Work was conducted under section 73 SARA permit issued by Department of Fisheries and Oceans Canada -permit number DFO-MAR-2016-04. The research vessel *Nereid* was operated under foreign fishing vessel license 344228. Map provided by Brooke Hodge.